

Verification and Intercomparison of Global Ocean Currents

Saima Aijaz¹, Gary B. Brassington¹, Prasanth Divakaran¹, Charly Régnier², Marie Drévillon², K. Andrew Peterson³, Jan Maksymczuk⁴, and Lauriane Escalle⁵

¹Bureau of Meteorology, Australia
²Mercator Océan International
³Environment and Climate Change Canada
⁴Met Office, UK
⁵Pacific Community



OceanPredict IV-TT Ocean Verification Online Seminar Series–13 June 2024



Eulerian currents verification & intercomparison

- Verification under the OceanPredict IV-TT CLASS4 data standard using observations from global drifting buoys
- Intercomparison of models from the Bureau of Meteorology, Mercator Océan, UK Met Office, and Environment & Climate Change Canada
- Use of drifting fish aggregating devices (FADs) as ocean observing system for verification

Lagrangian modelling

- Comparison of drifter trajectories with FADs trajectories
- OceanMAPS skill against FADs and against drifters

Currents OBS - drifters





Global forecast systems for intercomparison

	Ocean forecast system	Ocean model	Resolution	Atmospheric Input	Observations
Bureau of Meteorology	1. OMAPS3.4, ENS- OMAPS3.4, 4.0i , 4.1i 2. OMAPS- NEMO- EnKF-C	MOM-5 NEMO 4.04	MOM-5: 1/10° ×1/10° (horizontal), 51 vertical levels NEMO: 1/4° at equator, 7 km at high latitudes, 75 vertical levels	ACCESS-G3	SLA, SST, salinity, temperature (no tides, no sea-ice)
Mercator Océan International	4. MOi GLOBAL_ANALYSISF ORECAST_PHY_001_ 024	NEMO-3.1	1/12° at equator (2 km at Antarctic), 50 vertical levels	ECMWF global	SLA, SST, salinity, temperature, sea-ice (no tides)
UK Met Office	5. FOAM-025	NEMO-3.6	1/4° at equator, 7 km at high latitudes, 75 vertical levels	Met Office global NWP	SLA, SST, salinity, temperature, sea-ice (no tides)
	6. FOAM-12	NEMO-3.6	1/12° at equator, 7 km at high latitudes, 75 vertical levels	Met Office global NWP	SLA, SST, salinity, temperature, sea-ice (no tides)
	7. CPLDA	NEMO-3.6	1/4° at equator, 7 km at high latitudes, 75 vertical levels	Unified Model atmosphere	SLA, SST, salinity, temperature, sea-ice (no tides)
Environment & Climate Change Canada	8. GDPS-GIOPS	NEMO-3.6	1/4°, 50 vertical levels	CCMEP global NWP, GDPS	SLA, SST, salinity, temperature, sea-ice (no tides)
	9. GEPS	NEMO-3.6	1/4°, 50 vertical levels Ensembles	CCMEP global NWP, GEPS7.0	SLA, SST, salinity, temperature, sea-ice (no tides)

Aijaz, S., G. B. Brassington, P. Divakaran, C. Régnier, M. Drévillon, J. Maksymczuk, K. A. Peterson, 2023: Verification and Intercomparison of Global Ocean Eulerian Currents, Ocean Modelling, 186, 102241.



- Global drifter buoys track currents at 15m depth. Their positions are tracked by satellites and accurate to within 1 km. The Coriolis data Centre (Ifremer and Météo-France) delivers the drifter observations.
- Drifting fish aggregating devices (FADs) consist of a floating raft, ropes or nets, and a satellite buoy for tracking. Not designed as ocean observing tool.





Schematic of drifter buoy



Fish aggregating device (FAD). Source: Pew Environment Group, international@pewtrusts.org

FADs



x=75329.00

Drifters



Drifters 2008-2014 1x1 deg bins

Drifters JAN-DEC 2020 1x1 deg bins





MARCH 2021 – JANUARY 2024, 2x2 deg bins



FADs 2008-2014 1x1 deg bins

FADs JAN-DEC 2020 1x1 deg bins







- Global drifter observations are filtered over 24 hours using a Lanczos filter.
- Each agency prepares the CLASS4 currents drifter files, and sends it to the Bureau of Met., Australia
- Scaled Stokes drift from the MFWAM global wave model and tidal currents from FS2014 global tidal model are linearly added to the model best estimates and forecasts.
- Standard verification metrics are used: RMSE, STD, MAE, Bias, PDFs, CDFs, QQ-plots, complex correlations, Taylor diagrams, box plots.
- Statistical metrics are computed for daily, monthly, and annual time-periods.

OMAPS versions	Timeframe	Representative
		year
OMAPS 3.2	1 July 2019 – 24 May 2020	2019-20
OMAPS 3.3	25 May 2020 – 19 May 2021	2020-21
OMAPS 3.4	20 May 2021 – 20 May 2022	2021-22
OMAPS 4.0i*	6 January 2022 – 20 May 2022	2021-22
OMAPS 4.1i		2023

Verification timeframes

*operational in June 2022



Filtered vs non-filtered



Outliers







Global Analysis

Analysis (model best estimates + Stokes drift) Time period: 20 May 2021 – 19 May 2022



Global Analysis - Daily and monthly means





Mean absolute error (MAE) - Global

Model forecast/persistence/climatology (2021-22)

FC – Forecast PERS – Persistence Climatology (solid black line)





Eulerian verification – Global drifters (GDP) Mean Absolute Error (MAE) - Global

Forecast error growth



Time period: 20MAY2021 – 19MAY2022 (364 days) except OMAPS 4.1i: 1JAN2023 – 26FEB2023 (57 days)



Daily & monthly means

Analysis - Global



Time period: 1JAN2022 – 10MAR2023 (432 days) except OMAPS 3.4, EN-OMAPS: 20MAY2021 – 20MAY2022



Regional Statistics

Analysis (model best estimates + Stokes drift) Time period: 20 May 2021 – 19 May 2022



Tropics (30°S-30°N) Mid-latitudes (50°S-30°S, 30°N-50°N) High-latitudes (75°S-50°S, 50°N-75°N) Australia (0°S-50°S, 90°E-180°E)

Number of samples, N: 9.3 M (Global), 3.5 M (Tropics), 3.9 M (mid-lats), 1.9 M (high-lats), 730k (Australia)



2JAN2020 – 30DEC2020 (OMAPS-NEMO-ENKF: 3-day average, all other models: daily average), N = 10,907,611





Spatial statistics, 4x4 degree bins

Analysis (model best estimates + Stokes drift) Time period: 20 May 2021 – 20 May 2022 (365 days)

Rcomplex

OMAPS 4.0 30 60 ENS-OMAPS 0.8 OMAPS 4.0 0.8 ENS-OMAPS 40 20 20 10 0.6 @ 20 0.6 -20 0.4 -20 0.4 -10 -40 -20 -40 -20 0.2 0.2 -30 -60 -60 -30 -80 80 OMAPS 3.4 OMAPS 34 MOi/PSY4 30 60 30 60 0.8 0.8 MOi 🖌 🖓 40 20 20 20 0.6 @ 10 0 -20 -20 0.4 -10 -40 -40 -20 0.2 0.2 -60 -60 -30 -80 FOAM FOAM 30 30 60 FOAM-12 FOAM-12 0.8 0.8 40 20 20 0.6 0 0.6 0 -20 0.4 -20 -10 -40 -40 -20 0.2 0.2 -60 -30 -80 GDPS CPLDA CPLDA 30 60 GDPS 0.8 0.8 40 20 20 20 10 0.6 9 0.6 0 0.4 0 0.4 -20 -20 -10 -40 -20 -20 0.2 0.2 -60 -60 -30 -30 -80 -80 -40 150 150 -150 -100 -50 50 100 150 -150 -100 -50 50 100 150 150 50 100 -150 -100 50 100 longitude longitude longitude longitude

Veering (degrees)

Spatial statistics, Mean error 4x4 degree bins

Analysis (model best estimates + Stokes drift) Time period: 20 May 2021 – 19 May 2022 (364 days)

Mean annual error, zonal



Mean annual error, meridional

0.2

0.1

-0.1

-0.2

0.2

0.1

-0.1

-0.2

0.2

0.1

-0.2

0.3

0.2 0.1

-0.1

-0.2

150



Global statistics- 1 JAN 2023 – 30 APR 2023 MOi-NEMO (GLO12v4), OMAPS 4.0i (unfiltered obs)





Data period: 1 Jan 2023 - 30 April 2023, N=2,304,106



Eulerian verification – FADs in WCPO 1JAN2020-31DEC2020





OceanMAPS vs FADs

FADs currents

Mean FADS-U 2020 1 degree bin size 0.6 20 0.4 10 0.2 latitude -10 0 -20 -0.2 -30 -0.4 -40 -0.6 100 120 140 160 180 200 220 longitude

GDP currents





Lagrangian diagnostics

OceanParcels (Probably A Really Computationally Efficient Lagrangian Simulator)

- A set of Python classes and methods to create particle tracking simulations using output from ocean circulation models.
- Velocity fields from OceanMAPS
- Skill (Liu and Weisberg, 2011) of OceanMAPS against GDP vs skill against FADs

Liu Index

Skill score

 $\operatorname{Liu} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} lo_i}$

 $S = \begin{cases} 1 - Liu & (Liu \le 1) \\ 0 & (Liu > 1) \end{cases}$

 d_i is the separation distance lo_i is the length of the observed trajectory N is the number of drifting hours/days







Liu and Weisberg (2011). Evaluation of trajectory modeling in different dynamic regions using normalized cumulative Lagrangian separation, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 116, C09013.





Model vs OBS trajectories



Model (OceanMAPS)



Skill score



Skill score





- OMAPS 4.0i/4.1i currents outperform the high-performing models such as the Mercator Océan MOi, and the Met Office FOAM.
- Remarkable statistical equivalence in all models despite differences in model configurations, DA, interpolations etc.
- Current verification is independent as currents are not assimilated during initialisation or DA process.
- Trends in mean errors and the correlations of FADs currents against the the drifters are consistent with those from OceanMAPS
- Mean separation distances and skill scores of OceanMAPS vs FADs and OceanMAPS vs drifters are remarkably similar.
- Lagrangian diagnostics reveals with coherent physical features.
- FADs provide valuable comparisons and offer potential assimilation applications for ocean and coupled ocean models.
- Future work: Assess the impact on ocean current performance from SWOT









Thank you

Saima Aijaz Saima.Aijaz@bom.gov.au