

COSS-TT International Coordination Meeting (9)

Task Team meeting

2 May 2023 - 4 May 2023, Montréal, Canada

Presenter's contact detailsTel:+30 2310 995708e-mail:cmakris@civil.auth.gr

CoastFLOOD

a reduced complexity high-resolution flood model for coastal inundation due to storm surges Lab of Maritime Engineering and Maritime Works School of Civil Engineering Aristotle University of Thessaloniki

C. Makris

- Z. Mallios
- Y. Androulidakis
- Y. Krestenitis



uropean Union European Regional Development Fund



Co-financed by the European Union and Greek national funds





Mechanism for Coastal Inundation





Barometric Systems and Storm Surges



Case 1: storm surge (± tides) [+ SLR for long-term projections] Case 2: TWL = combined storm surge + wave runup (only
steady-state approximation in the surf zone + on the coast)
± tides [+ SLR for long-term projections]



Coastal Flooding models include 3 approaches for coastal inundation due to Sea Level Rise

1. A **static-level** inundation module operating in **bathtub** mode

- traces and marks flood-prone low-land cells with ground elevation z<predefined threshold (e.g., storm surge extreme) on the computational raster grid
- too simplistic \rightarrow may lead to unphysical overestimations of coastal flood extents

2. An **enhanced bathtub** approach **with hydraulic connectivity** (Bathtub HC)

- allows water flow in adjacent cardinal and diagonal directions by the **eight-side rule**
- constricts implausible overestimation of possibly inundated areas by seawater masses
- Neglects bottom friction due to floodplain terrain roughness and permeability, time integration for the duration of the storm surge event, water flow height and velocity that affect the overland flood extension from the coastline
- Performs better than the simple bathtub approach → provides more conservative inundation results with no unrealistically detached flooded areas
- 3. CoastFLOOD-type of **hydraulic flow** modules with proper spatial- and time-stepping algorithm

model	Μ	od	e
-------	---	----	---

COSS-TT International Coordination Meeting (9)

Numerical Model

Hydrodynamics:

Mechanics:

Concept:

Boundaries:

Simulation Period:

Cases:

Scales:

Coastal zone

Discretization: dx = 2-5m RAM limit: $15x10^6$ cells

Hellenic Cadastre

Reduced complexity 2-D mass-balance floodplain flow
Decomposed Manning-type hydraulic flow
SSH difference between neighboring cells
Raster-based wet/dry storage cell module
SSH on the seafront by HiReSS model *or* SLA
(satellite altimetry) *or* tide-gauge observations
hours to days

Ianos Medicane storm surge events

Projected or historical SSH/SLA maxima 10-20km × 10-20km inundation areas

https://www.ktimatologio.gr/



Grid formulation: treatment of water height over uneven bed elevation cells

Outputs

- Coverage percentage of inundated areas (by number of wet cells) numerically estimated by flood model
- 2. Comparisons to potentially flooded areas of the raster grid
- 3. Accounts for most significant topographic details on coastal land

Model

Hydraulic Flow Equations

CoastFLOOD

COSS-TT International Coordination Meeting (9)

Continuity Equation (mass conservation law)

 $\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = Q_x^{in} - Q_x^{out} + Q_y^{in} - Q_y^{out}$

$$\frac{\partial h_{i,j}}{\partial t} = \frac{Q_{x_{i-1/2,j}} - Q_{x_{i+1/2,j}} + Q_{y_{i,j-1/2}} - Q_{y_{i,j+1/2}}}{\partial x \cdot \partial y}$$

 $h_{i,j}^{t'} = h_{i,j}^{t} + dt \cdot \frac{\varphi_{x_{i-1/2,j}} - \varphi_{x_{i+1/2,j}} + \varphi_{y_{i,j-1/2}}}{dx \cdot dy}$





Motion Equation (flow rate conservation law)

$$Q_{x_{i-1/2,j}}^{t} = \frac{h_{flow_{x_{i-1/2,j}}}^{t\,5/3}}{n} \cdot \left(\frac{h_{i-1,j}^{t} - h_{i,j}^{t}}{dx}\right)^{1/2} \cdot dy$$
$$Q_{x_{i+1/2,j}}^{t} = \frac{h_{flow_{x_{i+1/2,j}}}^{t\,5/3}}{n} \cdot \left(\frac{h_{i,j}^{t} - h_{i+1,j}^{t}}{dx}\right)^{1/2} \cdot dy$$

(0,0)

b

Model

Typical Flow Schematics

CoastFLOOD

COSS-TT International Coordination Meeting (9)

Definition of floodwater exchange flow height

$$h_{flow_{x_{i-1/2,j}}} = (max\{H_{i-1,j}, H_{i,j}\} - max\{z_{i-1,j}, z_{i,j}\})$$

Depiction of floodwater front propagation over typical grid cells in CoastFLOOD model

- 2-D x-z plane (a d)
- wet/dry cell expansion in pseudo-3D projection (d)

Schematic representation of Q_x and h_{flow} (flow depth between two adjacent cells) = difference of the highest floodwater surface elevation from MSL, H, minus the maximum bed elevation, z, between two neighboring cells



CoastFLOOD

COSS-TT International Coordination Meeting (9)

Numerical schemes

Forward-Time and Centered-Space (FTCS) finite difference

implicit (θ <1) Backward-Time and Centered-Space (**BTCS**) algorithm

Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy (CFL) criterion

 $C = u_x dt/dx < 1$

Limits to ensure numerical stability

$$dt_{max} = a \cdot dx / gh_{ij} < 1, \qquad a = 0.3 - 0.7$$

Adaptive timestep based on the Von Neumann condition especially for the diffusive wave case

$$dt \leq \frac{dx^2}{4(1-\theta)} \implies dt = \frac{dx^2}{4} \min\left(\frac{2n}{h_{flow_x}^{5/3}} \left|\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}\right|^{1/2}, \frac{2n}{h_{flow_y}^{5/3}} \left|\frac{\partial h}{\partial y}\right|^{1/2}\right)$$

Flow limiter (Q_{min} threshold) prevents instabilities in adjacent cells of very large differences in floodwater depth

$$Q_{x_{i-1/2,j}} = \min\left\{ \text{calculated } Q_{x_{i-1/2,j}}, \frac{dxdy(h_{i,j}^t - h_{i-1,j}^t)}{8dt} \right\}$$

Model

New Features



COSS-TT International Coordination Meeting (9)



Cross-type scanning process of the numerical grid in CoastFLOOD

Red and blue arrows represent the direction of numerical scan of the grid cells on zonal and meridional, x- and y-axis

Basic assumptions of CoastFLOOD

- Steady state forcing of the flood flow on the coastal boundary (smoothly varying sea level maxima)
- Non-treatment of the floodwater ebbing phenomenon (model considers spatiotemporally local wetting/drying of individual cells, yet computations cease when floodwater reaches the farthest area from the coastline or the waterfront)
- IF coastline SSH > MSL then h(t) ≡ SSH(t) on the seaside boundary (ghost) cell used to calculate the initial volume flux to all adjacent shoreland cells and then onto the floodplain cells
- Dirichlet-type boundary condition h=SSH-z
- To include barotropic current's effect on the momentum flux of the first land cell adjacent to the seawater cell we added an impromptu $Q_{xs}=U_{cx}\cdot d_y\cdot h_{flow,x}$ (U_c: storm surge-induced current)

Table 2. CoastFLOOD 2-D modified floodplain Manning coefficient list.

A/A	n	Description of Areas' Characteristics
1	0.001	open water
2	0.0115	concrete surfaces
3	0.010	rural driveways (dirt road and granules)
4	0.012	urban land uses (asphalt mixtures and other urban surface features: artificial stones, paving blocks, lightweight aggregate concrete), concrete rooftop, playground, yard, barren land
5	0.013	main asphalt roads (national, regional highway networks, autobahns, etc.)
6	0.015	brick terrain, unidentified high and low development urban environment, inland open waters (reservoirs, lakes, ponds, lagoons, estuaries)
7	0.017	city streets (asphalt, concrete, etc.)
8	0.018	unidentified/unclassified urban terrain
9	0.020	clean to gravelly earth pathways (pebbles with a small portion of cobbles), muddy/sandy open waters and sandy terrains, sea bottom (saturated wet sand or silt-sand) and channel beds
10	0.030	bare unidentified/unclassified soil
11	0.022	bare land, stone paved road and ceramic sett, or paving sett pathways
12	0.029	stony cobble lands, pastures, and farmlands
13	0.025	manmade structures, gravel beds and pathways (pebbles with nominal diameter: d _{n50} = 4–64 mm, cobbles: d _{n50} = 64–256 mm)
14	0.0375	cultivated fields and pasture, grassland (including prairies, steppes, plains)
15	0.0425	isolated sand/gravel(mixed) pits, estuary channels, and uneven urban areas
16	0.029	emerged sloping sandy beaches, sand dunes
17	0.030	managed grasslands

CLC uses a minimum mapping unit of 25 ha for areal phenomena and

a minimum width of 100 m for linear phenomena

CLC is mainly produced on a country/state-level by visual

interpretation of fine-resolution satellite imagery from Sentinel-2 and

Landsat-8 (for gap filling) products

CORINE Land Cover (CLC) inventory

provides a robust record of land cover in 44 classes for Europe

time consistency referring to 2017–2018

Copernicus Land Monitoring Service. Available online:

https://land.copernicus.eu/pan-european/corine-land-cover

(accessed on 20 April 2023)

0.0115	unclassified/unidentified rural areas								
0.033	grass surfaces								
0.035	short stiff grass areas								
0.0575	weeds with or without structure								
0.0555	heavy brush floodplains								
0.040	arable land plains, heavy/coarse gravel (boulders: dn50 >= 256 mm) areas, unclassified grassland, and								
0.040	shrubs (including savannah, meadow, veldt, pampa, tundra)								
0.050	unclassified trees, open development areas (containing parks, streets of rural character)								
0.055	herbaceous wetlands								
0.067	emerged barriers								
0.140	hardwood woodland and cultivated woodland								
0.086	unclassified wetlands (including watersheds, salt/fresh marshes, bottomland hardwood, swamps,								
0.000	mangrove swamps, seagrass flats, forest swamps)								
0.100	forest land and unidentified forest trees evergreen forest, pasture, hay, crop, vegetation								
0.120	deciduous forest, natural grassland, herbaceous lands								
0.150	mixed forest, shrubs, scrub, emergent herbaceous wetlands								
0.240	cultivated vegetation								
0.300	unidentified densely built urbanized zones (uncharacterized structures)								
0.320	very dense tall (long trunk) trees forest (jungles, etc.)								
0.368	very dense and/or stiff grasslands (reedy bamboo, etc.)								
0.400	very dense small forest trees and thick shrubs								
	0.0115 0.033 0.035 0.0575 0.0555 0.040 0.050 0.055 0.067 0.140 0.140 0.120 0.120 0.120 0.120 0.120 0.120 0.120 0.120 0.300 0.320 0.368 0.400								

Case Studies

Mediterranean Sea

Greek coastal zone



3: Preveza coastal area 4: Igoumenitsa port 5: Livadi bay 6: Kalamata 7: Argostoli 8: Kyparissia 9: Laganas 10: Patra city

- a) Map of selected study areas to apply the CoastFLOOD model areas
- 1: Manolada-Lechaina
- 2: Vassiliki bay

b-e) Depiction of coastal inundation damages due to "lanos" Medicane (September 2020) "Ballos" storm (October 2021)

Results

Model Verification

CoastFLOOD

COSS-TT International Coordination Meeting (9)



Map of estimated flooded areas as depicted by

• NDWI satellite data (purple color)

overlaid on

CoastFLOOD simulation results (blue color)
 driven by recorded SLA values on 14 December 2021

for the Manolada-Lechaina study area (NW Peloponnese)

Flooded areas' extents over background of recent GoogleEarth satellite images

Results

Model Verification



Map of estimated flooded and wet areas as depicted by

- NDWI differences by satellite data before and after lanos Medicane passage from the study area (white-to-purple color shift corresponding to 0–1 of NDWI values
 overlaid on
- CoastFLOOD simulation results driven by HiReSS-modelled SSH from operational forecasts by the WaveForUs system during lanos Medicane landfall on 17 September 2020 (red color)

for the Livadi study area, on Cephalonia Island, in the Ionian Sea

Modelled flood area extents for extreme case scenario of TWL = 1 m is also provided in yellow color

Results

Model Validation CoastFLOOD vs Bathtub-HC

COSS-TT International Coordination Meeting (9)

194500



Application Timeframes

Table 4. Timeframe for Maximum Flood Inundation Reach, tMIR.

SLA (m)	0.2-0.3	0.5	1	1.5	2
Study Area	•	•	tmir (hrs)		•
Laganas	4.25	3.61	4.45	6.40	8.87
Kyparissia	0.82	0.72	1.12	1.98	2.21
Kalamata	3.96	5.13	25.76	28.59	32.79
Patra	14.46	15.93	50.12	77.39	81.97
Vassiliki	0.18	0.45	1.11	4.21	8.90
Livadi	0.22	0.49	5.33	19.87	38.43
Igoumenitsa	0.20	0.32	0.93	3.76	5.28
Argostoli	0.67	1.57	6.97	9.23	10.18

* The two highlighted rows correspond to exceptional cases of counterintuitively higher values of t_{MIR} for lower values of SLA = 0.2–0.3 m.

Interesting feature: formulation of timeframe for maximum flood inundation reach \mathbf{t}_{MIR} in some study cases The pattern of tMIR is similar and, in general, increasing for the

ascending values of SLA_{max}=0.2–2m

except from Laganas and Kyparissia case studies

where for lower values of recorded SLA_{max}=0.2–0.3m

 $t_{\rm MIR}$ is counterintuitively quite high > $t_{\rm MIR}$ of larger SLAs and consequent

inundation extents

Probably reasonable because lower SLA values on the coastline drive **much slower inundation flows** than larger storm surge levels, since shoreline SLA/SSH acts as the main formulation factor of the hydraulic head of the flood front propagation

Area 10

304000

302000

306000

- **1.** Flood hazard maps (inundated areas extents)
- 2. Impacted areas of the raster grid





Climatic Study Output

COSS-TT International Coordination Meeting (9)



Illustration of the simulated results of storm surge inundation in low-land areas coastal for a theoretical extreme

value of SSH = 1 m, in Nestos river delta. Red color refers to probably inundated low-land areas; green color

refers to actually inundated areas by realistic CoastFLOOD simulations

black closed lines refer to possibly affected areas (lagoons; urban, port and touristic areas).

MeCSS-driven CoastFLOOD (M-CF) model results

A) Flooded Area FA (ha)

Study C	y Case A					В		С			
		СМСС	CNRM	GUF	СМСС	CNRM	GUF	CMCC-CNRM	CNRM-GUF	GUF- CMCC	
Scenario	Period	M-CF	M-CF	M-CF	M-CF	M-CF	M-CF	M-CF	M-CF	M-CF	
		FA (ha)	FA (ha)	FA (ha)	Diff (%)	Diff (%)	Diff (%)	Diff (%)	Diff (%)	Diff (%)	
Historical	RP	380.897	354.832	452.257	0	0	0	7.09	-24.14	17.13	
RCP4.5	STF	381.420	358.847	447.705	0.14	1.13	-1.01	6.10	-22.03	15.99	
RCP4.5	LTF	352.045	356.615	382.425	-7.57	0.50	-15.44	-1.29	-6.98	8.27	
RCP8.5	STF	365.465	377.780	359.442	-4.05	6.47	-20.52	-3.31	4.97	-1.66	
RCP8.5	LTF	359.477	365.055	383.352	-5.62	2.88	-15.24	-1.54	-4.89	6.43	

B) respective differences Diff (%)

between climatic scenario runs

C) Diff (%) by different forcing input

as CMCC-, CNRM-, GUF-forced MeCSS

A) Flooded Probability FP (%)

Study Case			Α			В		C			
		смсс	CNRM	GUF	СМСС	CNRM	GUF	CMCC-CNRM	CNRM-GUF	GUF-CMCC	
Scenario	Period	M-CF	M-CF	M-CF	M-CF	M-CF	M-CF	M-CF	M-CF	M-CF	
		FP (%)	FP (%)	FP (%)	Diff (%)	Diff (%)	Diff (%)	Diff (%)	Diff (%)	Diff (%)	
Historical	RP	0.15	0.09	0.35	0	0	0	44.90	-115.18	80.72	
RCP4.5	STF	0.09	0.01	0.35	-38.66	-91.58	0.86	168.00	-191.21	117.86	
RCP4.5	LTF	0.08	0.03	0.25	-42.34	-65.32	-27.67	89.66	-154.29	98.79	
RCP8.5	STF	0.10	0.10	0.23	-33.99	8.43	-32.01	-3.96	-79.88	83.19	
RCP8.5	LTF	0.04	0.05	0.15	-73.23	-46.90	-57.42	-22.72	-99.49	115.67	

Risk matrix for **CFRI** (Coastal Flood Risk Index)

COSS-TT International Coordination Meeting (9)

Risk = *Probability* × *Consequence*

refers to large scale coastal inundation by extreme storm surge events, defined by the seawater flooded area and the corresponding flood probability derived with the coupled MeCSS-CoastFLOOD model

PERIOD	RP: 1971-2000	SCENA		S	TF: 2021-20	50	LTF: 2071-2100		LTF: 2071-2100		CFRI COLOR SCALE		$K = H \wedge V$
RCM \ WCS	REF	RCP	RCM \WCS	REF	cc	EXT	REF	CC	EXT	RANK	VALU	e color	R is risk
смсс	2		смсс	1	1	1	1	1	1	VERY LOW	1		H is hazard
CNRM	1	4.5	CNRM	1	1	1	1	1	1	LOW	2		V is vulnerability
GUF	5		GUF	5	5	5	3	3	3	MODERATE	3		$V = \frac{E \times S}{M}$
			смсс	2	2	2	1	1	i	HIGH	4		V = C
		8.5	CNRM	2	2	2	1	1	1	VERY HIGH	1 5		E is exposure
			GUF	3	3	3	2	2	2	а			S is sensitivity
							5.						C is adaptive capaci

Discussion

- Storm-induced sea level conditions should last for at least a few hours and up to 3 days, given that it does not abruptly change in time but follows the slow smooth variation of e.g. the tidal component
- Approach ideal for scenarios of long-term MSLR or Total Water Level (TWL) on the coastline
- Approach actually ignores the momentum exchange effects between neighboring cells in the floodplain, therefore introduces a restricted physical interpretation of the flow characteristics
- Can capture all the dominant features of the shallow seawater onshore flow which leads to the rather slow propagation process (thus, seawater flux may be neglected) of coastal inundation
- Inclusion of coastal currents does not seem to drastically influence the inland flood inundation extent but it is a step towards improvement of the physical representation of onshore seawater flow
- Main disadvantage of reduced complexity flood models is the oversight of sub-grid scale features of the flow e.g. cavitation, recirculation, aeration, debris advection, and viscosity effects
- Does not include 1-D urban flood flow yet, i.e. fine-scale spatial features (drainage systems, sewers, conduits, bridge culverts, pools, and drillings)
- Neglects percolative interaction with porous bed and downward infiltration to aquifers (however, these flows are usually very slow processes compared to the hydraulic propagation of flood fronts, cannot significantly influence the hydrodynamics of inundation)
- Need for integration with fluvial and pluvial (surface runoff) inundation for a proper compound flooding estimation

Conclusions

- New code (CoastFLOOD) in FORTRAN-95 for classic modelling approach of 2-D hydraulic flood flow in coastal areas
- Concept: reduced complexity, high-resolution, storage-cell, mass balance flood inundation for coastal lowlands; simplified Manning-type flow equation running on a fine-scale GIS raster-based domain
- CoastFLOOD relies on computational efficiency and delivery of stable simulations with robust results
- Model performance evaluated for predicted and observed storm surges affected by tidal components of sea level elevation (storm tides)
- Model applied in operational forecast applications and long-term climatic or short-term extreme scenarios of TWL (also considering an estimative mean condition for wave runup)
- Model results slightly overpredict recorded flood extents because the satellite data are not totally accurate to represent the actual situation of floodwater extents during the storm surge (due to cloud contamination, not representative of the maximum flood reach)
- Predicted flood extents on the southern coastal zone of Cephalonia Island (Area 5) overlap and include the wet areas traced by remote sensing (on the safe side in terms of engineering and coastal management)
- Validation of CoastFLOOD vs. Bathtub-HC approach: agreement quite high GoF scores >0.95
- Vey detailed depiction of bottom roughness connected to official land cover data
- No availability of benchmark tests for geophysical scale flows
- Uncertainties of field data stem from the additional sources of floodwater inundation, except from storm tides, e.g., wind waves and swell, surface runoff, precipitation, etc.