

Forecast Sensitivity-Based Observation Impact (FSOI) in an Analysis-Forecast System of the California Current System

**Andy Moore¹, Patrick Drake¹, Christopher Edwards¹, Hernan Arango²,
John Wilkin², Tayebah TajalliBakhsh³, and Brian Powell⁴**


1: Dept of Ocean Sciences, University of California Santa Cruz, U.S.A.

2: Dept of Marine and Coastal Sciences, Rutgers University, U.S.A.

3: RPS Group, Kingston R.I., U.S.A.

4: Department of Oceanography, University of Hawaii, U.S.A.


Acknowledgement: Work funded by NOAA *Ocean Technology Transition* initiative and *U.S. IOOS*




CenCOOS, MARACOOS & PacIOOS near real-time analysis-forecast systems are all based on Regional Ocean Modeling System (**ROMS**) 4-dimensional variational (**4D-Var**) data assimilation.



What impact does each component of the observing system have on forecast skill?




CeNCOOS = Central and Northern California Ocean Observing System
MARACOOS = Mid-Atlantic Regional Association Ocean Observing System
PacIOOS = Pacific Island Ocean Observing System



Forecast Sensitivity-Based Observation Impact (FSOI)

Baker & Daley (2000); Langland & Baker (2004); Errico (2007); Trémolet (2008); Zhu & Gelaro (2008)



Forecast error: $e(x)$


The change in forecast skill due to assimilating observations:

$$\delta e = e^a(t_f) - e^b(t_f)$$

Adjoint -> impact of each obs

- $\delta e < 0$ Obs improve forecast skill
- $\delta e > 0$ Obs degrade forecast skill

The California Current System & CeNCOOS




- ROMS & 4D-Var
 - 1/10th degree resolution, 42 σ -levels
 - COAMPS surface forcing
 - Global HYCOM open boundary conditions
 - Observations (2018 & 2019):
 - satellite SST
 - Aviso altimetry
 - Argo profiling floats
 - gliders
 - HF radar surface radial currents
 - Background quality control of obs
 - 4-day 4D-Var windows (1 outer-loop, 9 inner-loops)
 - 4-day forecasts (“hindcasts”)
 - Forecast metrics:
 - central CA SST MSE e_{SST}
 - central CA surface current MSE e_V
 - central CA upwelling transport MSE e_W
- Directly observed Indirectly observed


Day-4 Forecast Error Differences

$\delta e < 0$
Obs improve forecast skill



Central CA SST MSE



37N transport MSE



Forecast Sensitivity-Based Observation Impact (FSOI)




Percentage of obs that improve forecast skill

	<i>MSE SST</i>	<i>MSE Velocity</i>	<i>MSE 37N</i>	<i>MSE W</i>
Obs	e_{SST}	e_V	e_{37N}	e_W
SSH	60%	67%	60%	49%
T	49%	52%	49%	42%
S	50%	53%	51%	45%
HF radial	58%	62%	58%	50%
SST	61%	58%	55%	50%



- Only ~ 40-50% of *in situ* observations improve the forecast skill
- ~60% of remote sensing obs improve forecast skill
- ~50-60% of all obs improve forecast skill, similar to experience in NWP

Local versus Remote Impacts


Mean Impact of SST obs on Central CA SST MSE



Monitoring of Observing System Data Streams and DA System Performance



Mean impact per data type versus inner-loop averaged over all analysis-forecast cycles



- SSH
- SST
- *in situ T*
- *in situ S*
- HF radials

Summary

- Only ~50% of obs improve forecast (agrees with experience in NWP, e.g. THORPEX, JCSDA IOS)
- Should more observations be assimilated (*cf* Gelaro *et al.*, 2010)?
- Can better use be made of existing observations (*i.e.* can more info be extracted from some obs)?
- Data thinning required to reduce relative impact of high volume obs (*e.g.* HF radar, SST – *work in progress*)
- FSOI is useful for monitoring observing system and performance of 4D-Var system
- FSOI reveals local and remote influence of observing system components
- Forecast Sensitivity to Observations (FSO) provides complimentary information