

OPOS-WG 1
Online meeting
20 April 2022

Participation:

No	First name	Surname	Affiliation
1	Laurent	Bertino	NERSC
2	Gary	Brassington	BoM
3	Emanuela	Clementi	CMCC
4	Fraser	Davidson	DFO/ECCC
5	Luiz Claudio	Fonseca	Brazilian Navy
6	David	Ford	Met Office
7	Johannes	Karstensen	GEOMAR/OBPS
8	Jean-Michel	Lellouche	MOI
9	Simona	Masina	CMCC
10	Kristian	Mogensen	ECMWF
11	Arya	Paul	INCOIS
12	Jay	Pearlman	FourBridges/OBPS
13	Clemente	Tanajura	REMO/UFBA
14	Liyang	Wan	NMEFC
15	Goro	Yamanaka	MRI-JMA

Discussion and actions:

Actions and points of further discussion:

- **Co-chairs** to revise Terms of Reference, in particular:
 - Gary suggested replacing “solve common challenges” with “define and drive solutions to common challenges” in the purpose
 - Simona queried whether representation is at system, organisation, or country level. This is ambiguous at the moment and should be clarified (see further down too)
 - Gary asked if we can have a pronounceable form of the acronym. Fraser suggested OPOS working group.
 - **All members** to send any final thoughts on the name
- **Co-chairs** to organise next meeting in second half of May, to discuss OPST-6 and drive forward collaboration
 - Seek volunteer to give a system overview presentation.
 - **All members** to consider volunteering to present and contact co-chairs if you'd like to.
 - Gary suggested it would be good to hear about members' system updates and publications, planned and trial systems, and challenges to advancing their performance.
 - **Co-chairs** to include in agenda.
- **Co-chairs** to continue discussion with OBPS and ETOOFS ahead of next meeting so next steps can be planned
 - Work on developing a best practice template for ocean prediction
 - Meet with Jay and Johannes before next meeting

- Invite Jay and Johannes to next meeting
- System representation
 - **Fraser** to invite South Africa (Jennifer Veitch) to join the group and attend the next meeting
 - **All members** to suggest whether representation is best at system, organisation, or country level, and how much (if at all) the group should be expanded to include other systems, for discussion at next meeting
 - **All members** to suggest systems that aren't represented that could/should be, for discussion at next meeting